

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,510	09/16/2003	Gantetsu Matsui	82478-0500	1865	
21611 7	590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER		
SNELL & WILMER LLP			PEACHES, RANDY		
600 ANTON B	OULEVARD			D. 1000 1111 (D.D.)	
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
COSTA MESA	COSTA MESA, CA 92626		2617		
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** MATSUI, GANTETSU 10/663,510

D (// E'') (A /D) (·				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Randy Peaches	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondenc add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains 			because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	• • •	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			. (
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-11,19 and 21-23.		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Madria - # A 1 201 .	4			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13. Other:	CO AL	<i>.</i>				
	CHARLES	PPIAH				

PHIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Based on the newly amended claims, i.e. claims 5, 9 and 10.., the Examiner will require further search and consideration due to change of the scope of the claims in its present form.